MELINDA HAAG (CABN 132612) 1 United States Attorney FILED 2 J. DOUGLAS WILSON (DCBN 412811) Chief, Criminal Division 3 GARTH HIRE (CABN 187330) 4 RICHARD W. WIEKING OLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COLAT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA Assistant United States Attorney 5 1301 Clay Street, Suite 340-S Oakland, California 94612 6 Telephone: (510) 637-3723 Facsimile: (510) 637-3724 7 E-mail: Garth.Hire@usdoj.gov 8 Attorneys for Plaintiff 9 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA 10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 11 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 12 OAKLAND DIVISION 13 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, No. CR 13-00817 JST 14 Plaintiff, STIPULATION REGARDING REQUEST FOR 15 (1) CONTINUANCE OF HEARING DATE ÀND (2) FINDINGS OF EXCLUDABLE TIME v. 16 PERIODS PURSUANT TO SPEEDY TRIAL KEVIN GEORGE MOON, ACT 17 Defendant. Current Hearing Date: March 14, 2014 18 Proposed Hearing Date: April 11, 2014 19 20 Plaintiff United States of America, by and through its counsel of record, the United States 21 Attorney for the Northern District of California and Assistant United States Attorney Garth Hire, and 22 defendant Kevin George Moon (defendant), by and through his counsel of record, Angela Hansen, 23 hereby stipulate as follows: 24 1. On December 19, 2013, a federal grand jury returned an indictment against the 25 defendant charging him with possession with intent to distribute methamphetamine in violation of 21 26 U.S.C. § 841(a)(1). 27 28

- 2. On January 23, 2014, defendant was arraigned on the indictment before the Honorable Donna M. Ryu, United States Magistrate Judge. On January 28, 2014, Judge Ryu ordered defendant detained pending trial in this matter. Also on that date, the parties stipulated to set a status conference before this Court on March 14, 2014, and agreed that there was a basis to exclude time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seq., for effective preparation of counsel. Judge Ryu signed an order excluding the time period of January 28, 2014, to March 14, 2014, from the time in which trial must commence under the Speedy Trial Act.
- 3. The parties now request a continuance of the currently scheduled March 14, 2014, status conference to April 11, 2014, in order to provide defendant's counsel additional time necessary to confer with defendant, conduct and complete an independent investigation of the case, conduct and complete additional legal research including for potential pre-trial motions, review the discovery and potential evidence in the case, and prepare for trial in the event that a pretrial resolution does not occur. In particular, defendant's counsel requires additional time to locate and review documentation and evidence relating to defendant's mental health. Defendant's counsel represents that failure to grant the continuance would deny her reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. The parties agree that the continuance requested by the parties is not based on congestion of the Court's calendar, lack of diligent preparation on the part of the attorney for the government or the defense, or failure on the part of the attorney for the government to obtain available witnesses.
- 4. For purposes of computing the date under the Speedy Trial Act by which defendant's trial must commence, the parties agree that the time period of March 14, 2014, to April 11, 2014, inclusive, should be excluded pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(A), (h)(7)(B)(i) and (h)(7)(B)(iv) because the delay results from a continuance granted by the Court at defendant's request, without government objection, on the basis of the Court's finding that: (i) the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and defendant in a speedy trial; (ii) failure to grant the continuance would be likely to make a continuation of the proceeding impossible, or result in a miscarriage of justice; and (iii) failure to grant the continuance would unreasonably deny defendant

-PROPOSED ORDER

The Court has read and considered the Stipulation Regarding Request for (1) Continuance of Hearing Date and (2) Findings of Excludable Time Periods Pursuant to Speedy Trial Act, filed by the parties in this matter. The Court hereby finds that the Stipulation, which this Court incorporates by reference into this Order, demonstrates facts that support a continuance in this matter, and provides good cause for a finding of excludable time pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161.

The Court further finds that: (i) the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and defendant in a speedy trial; (ii) failure to grant the continuance would be likely to make a continuation of the proceeding impossible, or result in a miscarriage of justice; and (iii) failure to grant the continuance would unreasonably deny defendant continuity of counsel and would deny defense counsel the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.

THEREFORE, FOR GOOD CAUSE SHOWN:

A status conference in this matter is scheduled for 9:30 a.m. on April 11, 2014. The time period of March 14, 2014, to April 11, 2014, inclusive, is excluded in computing the time within which the trial must commence, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(A), (h)(7)(B)(i), and (B)(iv). Nothing in this Order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excluded from the period within which trial must commence. Moreover, the same provisions and/or other provisions of the Speedy Trial Act may in the future authorize the exclusion of additional time periods from the period within which trial must commence.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

| | | 1 | \sim \sim \sim |
|----|------|-----|-----------------------------------------|
| 23 | 317 | 114 | Jan |
| | DATE | | HONORABLE JON'S. YIGAR |
| 24 | | | UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE |
| | | | V |